## GCE

## Further Mathematics A

Y542/01: Statistics

Advanced GCE

## Mark Scheme for November 2020

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.
© OCR 2020

## Annotations and abbreviations

| Annotation in RM assessor | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\checkmark$ and $\boldsymbol{x}$ |  |
| BOD | Benefit of doubt |
| FT | Follow through |
| ISW | Ignore subsequent working |
| M0, M1 | Method mark awarded 0, 1 |
| A0, A1 | Accuracy mark awarded 0, 1 |
| B0, B1 | Independent mark awarded 0, 1 |
| SC | Special case |
| $\wedge$ | Omission sign |
| MR | Misread |
| BP | Blank Page |
| Seen |  |
| Highlighting |  |
|  | Meaning |
| Other abbreviations <br> mark scheme |  |
| dep* | Mark dependent on a previous mark, indicated by *. The * may be omitted if only one previous M mark |
| cao | Correct answer only |
| oe | Or equivalent |
| rot | Rounded or truncated |
| soi | Seen or implied |
| www | Without wrong working |
| AG | Answer given |
| a wrt | Anything which rounds to |
| BC | By Calculator |
| DR | This question included the instruction: In this question you must show detailed reasoning. |

## Subject-specific Marking Instructions for A Level Mathematics A

 sufficient but not requiredFor responses that are not awarded either 0 or full marks, you must make it clear how you have arrived at the mark you have awarded and all responses must have enough annotation for a reviewer to decide if the mark awarded is correct without having to mark it independently.

It is vital that you annotate standardisation scripts fully to show how the marks have been awarded.
Award NR (No Response)

- if there is nothing written at all in the answer space and no attempt elsewhere in the script
- OR if there is a comment which does not in any way relate to the question (e.g. 'can't do', 'don't know')

OR if there is a mark (e.g. a dash, a question mark, a picture) which isn't an attempt at the question.
Note: Award 0 marks only for an attempt that earns no credit (including copying out the question).
If a candidate uses the answer space for one question to answer another, for example using the space for 8(b) to answer 8(a), then give benefit of doubt unless it is ambiguous for which part it is intended.

An element of professional judgement is required in the marking of any written paper. Remember that the mark scheme is designed to assist in marking incorrect solutions. Correct solutions leading to correct answers are awarded full marks but work must not always be judged on the answer alone, and answers that are given in the question, especially, must be validly obtained; key steps in the working must always be looked at and anything unfamiliar must be investigated thoroughly. Correct but unfamiliar or unexpected methods are often signalled by a correct result following an apparently incorrect method. Such work must be carefully assessed. When a candidate adopts a method which does not correspond to the mark scheme, escalate the question to your Team Leader who will decide on a course of action with the Principal Examiner.
If you are in any doubt whatsoever you should contact your Team Leader.

The following types of marks are available.
M
A suitable method has been selected and applied in a manner which shows that the method is essentially understood. Method marks are not usually lost for numerical errors, algebraic slips or errors in units. However, it is not usually sufficient for a candidate just to indicate an intention of using some method or just to quote a formula; the formula or idea must be applied to the specific problem in hand, e.g. by substituting the relevant quantities into the formula. In some cases the nature of the errors allowed for the award of an M mark may be specified.
A method mark may usually be implied by a correct answer unless the question includes the DR statement, the command words "Determine" or "Show that", or some other indication that the method must be given explicitly.

A
Accuracy mark, awarded for a correct answer or intermediate step correctly obtained. Accuracy marks cannot be given unless the associated Method mark is earned (or implied). Therefore M0 A1 cannot ever be awarded.

## B

Mark for a correct result or statement independent of Method marks.
Unless otherwise indicated, marks once gained cannot subsequently be lost, e.g. wrong working following a correct form of answer is ignored. Sometimes this is reinforced in the mark scheme by the abbreviation isw. However, this would not apply to a case where a candidate passes through the correct answer as part of a wrong argument.

When a part of a question has two or more 'method' steps, the M marks are in principle independent unless the scheme specifically says otherwise; and similarly where there are several B marks allocated. (The notation 'dep*' is used to indicate that a particular mark is dependent on an earlier, asterisked, mark in the scheme.) Of course, in practice it may happen that when a candidate has once gone wrong in a part of a question, the work from there on is worthless so that no more marks can sensibly be given. On the other hand, when two or more steps are successfully run together by the candidate, the earlier marks are implied and full credit must be given.
e The abbreviation FT implies that the A or B mark indicated is allowed for work correctly following on from previously incorrect results. Otherwise, A and B marks are given for correct work only - differences in notation are of course permitted. A (accuracy) marks are not given for answers obtained from incorrect working. When A or B marks are awarded for work at an intermediate stage of a solution, there may be various alternatives that are equally acceptable. In such cases, what is acceptable will be detailed in the mark scheme. If this is not the case please, escalate the question to your Team Leader who will decide on a course of action with the Principal Examiner.
Sometimes the answer to one part of a question is used in a later part of the same question. In this case, A marks will often be 'follow through'. In such cases you must ensure that you refer back to the answer of the previous part question even if this is not shown within the image zone. You may find it easier to mark follow through questions candidate-by-candidate rather than question-by-question.

We are usually quite flexible about the accuracy to which the final answer is expressed; over-specification is usually only penalised where the scheme explicitly says so.

- When a value is given in the paper only accept an answer correct to at least as many significant figures as the given value.
- When a value is not given in the paper accept any answer that agrees with the correct value to $\mathbf{3}$ s.f. unless a different level of accuracy has been asked for in the question, or the mark scheme specifies an acceptable range.
NB for Specification B (MEI) the rubric is not specific about the level of accuracy required, so this statement reads " 2 s . f ".
Follow through should be used so that only one mark in any question is lost for each distinct accuracy error.
Candidates using a value of $9.80,9.81$ or 10 for $g$ should usually be penalised for any final accuracy marks which do not agree to the value found with 9.8 which is given in the rubric.

If a calculator is used, some answers may be obtained with little or no working visible. Allow full marks for correct answers, provided that there is nothing in the wording of the question specifying that analytical methods are required such as the bold "In this question you must show detailed reasoning", or the command words "Show" or "Determine". Where an answer is wrong but there is some evidence of method, allow appropriate method marks. Wrong answers with no supporting method score zero. If in doubt, consult your Team Leader.

If in any case the scheme operates with considerable unfairness consult your Team Leader.

| Question |  |  | Answer | Marks | AO | Guidance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 53.1 \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{30}{8}} \\ & (49.30,56.90) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { M1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \\ & {[4]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.3 \\ & 1.1 \\ & 1.1 \\ & 3.4 \end{aligned}$ | Correct structure with 8 <br> Square root correct <br> Awrt 1.96 used, can be implied <br> Both, only these numbers (4 sf needed at least once) | Allow e.g. (49.30, 56.9) |
| 2 | (a) | (i) | The points do not lie very close to a straight line | B1 <br> [1] | 1.1 | Or equivalent. Must refer to diagram, not just to "correlation" | Ignore extras unless wrong |
|  |  | (ii) | $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \rho=0, \mathrm{H}_{1}: \rho>0$, where $\rho$ is the population pmcc between prices in 1972 and prices in 2018 <br> $0.381<0.4973$ <br> Do not reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$. <br> There is insufficient evidence of (positive) correlation between prices in the two years. | B2 <br> M1 <br> M1ft <br> A1ft <br> [5] | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.1 \\ 2.5 \\ \\ 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ \\ 2.2 b \end{gathered}$ | One error, e.g. $\rho$ not defined, B1 (but allow "population" not stated) $\mathrm{H}_{0}: r=0, \mathrm{H}_{1}: r>0$ : same scheme, but B2 needs "population" pmcc Compare with 0.497(3) <br> Correct first conclusion, needs like-with-like <br> In context, not too definite | $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : no correlation, $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ : positive correlation: B1 <br> FT on CV 0.5760 only |
|  |  | Exx | $\alpha:$ Insufficient evidence to reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$. No correlation between $\ldots$ M1A1 (bod)  <br> $\beta:$ Wrong first conclusion, correct interpretation: M0A0   <br> $\gamma:$ Hypotheses wrong way round: maximum M1M1  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (b) |  | 0.650 | B2 <br> [2] | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.1 \mathrm{a} \\ 1.1 \end{gathered}$ | Full marks for correct answer by any method | SC: if B0 allow B1 for any 3 of $8.85,46.35,8.8725$, 241.7331, 43.153 |


| Question |  |  | Answer | Marks | AO | Guidance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | (a) |  | $\mathrm{H}_{0}: m_{A}=m_{B}, \mathrm{H}_{1}: m_{A}<m_{B}$ where $m_{A}$ and $m_{B}$ are the median journey times for $A$ and $B$ $W \sim \mathrm{~N}(180,510)$ | B1 B1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 1.1 \end{aligned}$ | OR: Median journey times equal, oe. Allow if ms used but not defined Both, can be implied, needs $m=12$ | Allow "mean" or "average" only if "population" stated Allow $\sqrt{ } 510$ or $510^{2}$ |
|  |  |  | $\left[\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \text { Consider correct tail, either 219 or 141 } \\ \left(R_{m}=219, m(m+n+1)-R_{m}=141\right) \\ p=\Phi\left(\frac{141.5-180}{\sqrt{510}}\right)=0.0441 \ldots \\ 0.0441<0.1 \end{array} \quad \text { BC } \\ \text {, } \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1 } \\ & \text { M1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \\ & \text { A1ft } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 1.1 \\ & 1.1 \\ & 1.1 \end{aligned}$ | Find either $\mathrm{P}(\geq 219)$ (218.5) or $\mathrm{P}(\leq 141)(141.5)$ <br> Needs some evidence. E.g.: 0.0421, $0.0401,0.470$ (no/wrong cc, $\sqrt{ }$ ): M1 <br> Explicit comparison. FT on wrong $p$-value provided method correct | Use of 0.9559 is M0 here. For CV method see below $\begin{aligned} & 0.9559>0.9: \text { A1A1 (M1A1) } \\ & 0.9559>0.1: \text { A1A0 M0A0 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | OR: | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { CV } 180-z \times \sqrt{510} & \\ 141(141.5) \text { used } & \\ z=1.282 & (\mathrm{CV}=151.05,151.058 . .) \\ 141.5<151.05(85) & \text { or } 218.5>208.95 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { M1 } \\ \text { M1 } \\ \text { A1 } \\ \text { A1 } \end{array}$ |  | Allow $\sqrt{ }$ errors <br> Stated or implied <br> CV and cc correct e.g. $141<150.55$ | $180+1.282 \sqrt{ } 510$ etc is M0 unless 219 (218.5) used, in which case give M2(A1A1) E.g. $219>209.45$ |
|  |  |  | Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$. <br> Significant evidence that route B takes longer | $\begin{array}{\|c} \begin{array}{c} \text { M1ft } \\ \text { A1ft } \\ {[8]} \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \\ 2.2 \mathrm{~b} \end{gathered}$ | Correct first conclusion <br> Contextualised, not too definite | Needs like-with-like, e.g. $0.9559 \text { with } 0.9$ |
|  |  |  | SC Sum of A's ranks $=435-219=216$ used: B1B0 M0M1A0A1 M1A1 max 5/8 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Exx | $\alpha:$ $H_{0}:$ Journey times are the same, $H_{1}:$ journey times for $B$ are higher: B0 <br> $\beta:$ $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : No evidence that median journey times are different, etc: B0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | (b) |  | Must be a random sample (of all journeys) Or distributions must be same shape (necessary assumption for Wilcoxon rank-sum test!) | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { B1 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3.5b | Or equivalent. <br> Allow "(journeys) independent" | Not "representative". |


| Question |  | Answer | Marks | AO | Guidance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \mathrm{E}(X)=30 \text { or } \mathrm{E}(X)=10 \\ & 9 \times \operatorname{Var}(X)=36 \text { or } \operatorname{Var}(X)=4 \\ & \frac{1}{12}\left(n^{2}-1\right)=4 \\ & \quad \Rightarrow n=7 \\ & \mathrm{E}(X-m)=\frac{1}{2}(n+1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { B1 } \\ \text { B1 } \\ \text { M1 } \\ \text { A1 } \\ \text { M1 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.2 \mathrm{a} \\ 2.2 \mathrm{a} \\ 1.1 \\ 2.2 \mathrm{a} \\ 3.1 \mathrm{~b} \end{gathered}$ | Used, stated or implied <br> One of these, used, stated or implied <br> Use variance of uniform <br> $n=7$ only, no need for "reject -7 " <br> Use expectation of uniform, e.g. <br> $2 m+n+1=20$. | Allow if $\mathrm{E}(3 X+m)$ used rather than $\mathrm{E}[3(X+m)]$ |
|  | OR: | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{Var}(Y+m)=\frac{1}{12}\left(n^{2}-1\right) \\ \Rightarrow n=7 \\ \mathrm{E}(Y+m)=1 / 2(n+1)+m \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \\ & \text { M1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 2.2 \mathrm{a} \\ & 3.1 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | $n=7$ only, no need for "reject -7 " Use expectation of uniform, e.g. $2 m+n+1=20$. |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10-m=4 \\ & m=6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { M1 } \\ \text { A1 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 2.2 \mathrm{a} \end{aligned}$ | Validly derive single equation for $m$ $m=6$ only | NB: $\operatorname{Var}=(n-1)^{2} / 12$ is from continuous uniform! |


| Question |  |  | Answer | Marks | AO | Guidance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | (a) |  | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{5} C_{3} \times{ }^{21} C_{2}+{ }^{5} C_{4} \times{ }^{21} C_{1}+1 \quad[=2100+105+1] \\ & \div{ }^{26} C_{5} \quad[=65780] \\ & \frac{1103}{32890} \text { or } 0.0335 \ldots \end{aligned}$ | M1dep A1 *depM1 A1 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.1 \mathrm{~b} \\ 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 3.2 \mathrm{a} \end{gathered}$ | Any correct pair of ${ }^{n} C_{r}$ s multiplied All terms correct <br> Awrt 0.0335 or any exact fraction | Or $1-\mathrm{P}(0,1,2)=1-.9665$ <br> e.g. $\frac{2206}{65780}$ or $\frac{264720}{7893600}$ |
|  |  | OR: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Or: } \frac{5}{26} \times \frac{4}{25} \times \frac{3}{24} \times \frac{2}{23} \times \frac{1}{22} \\ & \frac{5}{26} \times \frac{4}{25} \times \frac{3}{24} \times \frac{2}{23} \times \frac{21}{22} \times 5 \\ & \frac{5}{26} \times \frac{4}{25} \times \frac{3}{24} \times \frac{21}{23} \times \frac{20}{22} \times 10 \\ & \text { Total } \frac{1103}{32890} \text { or } 0.0335 \ldots \end{aligned}$ | B1 <br> B1 <br> B1 <br> B1 <br> [4] |  | Must have 5 oe, e.g. ${ }^{5} C_{1}$ <br> Must have 10 oe, e.g. ${ }^{5} C_{3}$ |  |
|  | (b) | (i) | $\begin{aligned} \frac{22!\times 5!}{26!} & \left(=\frac{1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 \times 5}{23 \times 24 \times 25 \times 26}=\frac{120}{358800}\right) \\ & =\frac{1}{2990} \mathbf{A G} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { M1 } \\ \text { A1 } \\ \text { A1 } \\ \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.1 \\ & 2.1 \\ & 2.2 \mathrm{a} \end{aligned}$ | Oe. Allow M1 for 21 ! instead of 22 ! <br> Fully correct <br> Correctly obtain AG using exact method | $\frac{1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 \times 5}{22 \times 23 \times 24 \times 25 \times 26}: \text { M1 }$ <br> Allow even if no working after $22!\times 5!\div 26$ ! |
|  |  | (ii) | 22 fences: 22 for [VVV] $\times 21$ for [VV] <br> Consonants arranged in 21 ! ways <br> Vowels arranged in 5! ways $\left(={ }^{5} P_{3} \times{ }^{2} P_{2}\right)$ $\begin{aligned} & \text { Product } \div 26!=\frac{21}{2990} \\ & \qquad\left(=2.832 \times 10^{24} \div 4.0329 \times 10^{26}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { M1 } \\ & \text { M1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \\ & \quad[4] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.1 \mathrm{~b} \\ 1.1 \\ 2.1 \\ 3.2 \mathrm{a} \end{gathered}$ | Correct strategy, allow ${ }^{22} C_{2}$ for ${ }^{22} P_{2}$ <br> At least one of these, no subtraction <br> Both correct <br> Allow from calculator but must be exact fraction | $\begin{aligned} & 21!\times 3!\times 2!\times 22 \times 21: \text { M2A0 } \\ & 21!\times 3!\times 2!\div 26!\text { M0M1 } \\ & { }^{5} C_{3} \times 3!\times 2!=5! \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | OR: | Treat 21 consonants, [VVV] and [VV] as 23 $23!\times 5!/ 26!(=1 / 130)$ <br> Subtract $2 \times 1 / 2990$ <br> Answer is $\frac{21}{2990}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \\ & \text { M1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \\ & \quad[4] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.1 \mathrm{~b} \\ 2.1 \\ 3.2 \mathrm{a} \\ 1.1 \end{gathered}$ | Correct strategy, allow $23!\times 2!\times 3$ ! <br> Correct $\left(5!={ }^{5} P_{3} \times{ }^{2} P_{2}={ }^{5} C_{3} \times 2!\times 3!\right)$ <br> M1 also for subtracting $1 \times 1 / 2990$ <br> Final answer, exact fraction <br> (11/1495 is M1A1M1A0) | (Must subtract $2 \times 1 / 2990$ as 23! method counts [VVVVV] twice, once as [VVV][VV] and once as [VV][VVV]) |


| Question |  |  |  | swer | Marks | AO | Guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | (a) |  | Any reason for i ... and for const case wit they me | dence (or not) rage rate (or not), in each isunderstanding of what | B1 <br> B1 <br> [2] | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 b \\ & 3.5 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | "Events occur independently and at constant average rate": B0 <br> SC: Mere assertion of both, properly contextualised: B1 <br> SC: Variance $=4.67$ which is closer to $5:$ B1 <br> SC: Considers only the assumptions given in the question: B0 |
|  | (b) | (i) | 0.146(223) | BC | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { M1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \\ & \quad[2] \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.4 \\ & 1.1 \end{aligned}$ | Correct method stated or implied Correct answer only, awrt 0.146 |
|  |  | (ii) | 0.133(372) | BC | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { M1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.1 \\ & 1.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0.068: M1A0 } \\ & \text { (treat } 0.1337 \text { as a slip, i.e. give A1 BOD) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | (c) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Po}(12.2) \\ & \mathrm{P}(\leq 15)-\mathrm{P}(\leq 9) \\ & =0.604(224) \end{aligned}$ | $[=0.8296-0.2253]$ $\mathbf{B C}$ | M1 <br> M1 <br> A1 <br> [3] | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.3 \\ & 1.1 \\ & \\ & 3.4 \end{aligned}$ | Stated or implied <br> Allow $\mathrm{P}(\leq 16)$ or $\mathrm{P}(\leq 10)$, e.g. 0.503 or 0.662 (M1M1A0) <br> Allow this M1 also from $\lambda=7.2(0.187,0.110,0.189)$ <br> Correct answer only, awrt 0.604 |
|  | (d) |  | Sales of CD play to be ind | integrated systems need nt | $\overline{\text { B1 }}$ <br> [1] | 1.1 | Need "independent" or "not related" clearly referred to the two types of machine. Not just "purchases independent" or "distributions independent" |
|  | (e) |  | If a customer bu won't as well | player they probably buy an integrated system | $\overline{\text { B1 }}$ <br> [1] | 3.5b | Any reason for non-independence of sales of CD players and integrated sound systems <br> Can get B0B1 provided they are focussing on independence |
|  |  | Exx | $\alpha:$ May buy both so not independent: B0 <br> $\beta:$ Often bought together: $\quad$ B1 <br> $\gamma:$ Misunderstanding of context, e.g. CDs/CD |  | layers, or | um | hat integrated systems don't include CD players: can get B1 |


| Question |  |  | Answer | Marks |  | Guidance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | (a) |  | Geometric <br> Mean $=400 \div 100(=4)$ and $p=1 /$ mean <br> Therefore $p=0.25$ | M1 <br> M1 <br> A1 <br> [3] | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 2.4 \\ & 1.1 \end{aligned}$ | Stated explicitly <br> Use mean (or $\mathrm{P}(1)$ etc) to deduce $p$ <br> ("Determine", so justification is needed for 0.25 ) <br> Allow even if second M1 not gained | Needs to deduce $p$ in part <br> (a), not defer it to (b) <br> SC Geo(0.2) using $\mathrm{P}(1)=0.2: \mathrm{M} 1 \mathrm{M} 1 \mathrm{~A} 0$ |
|  | (b) |  | Probability is $0.75{ }^{6}$ ( $=0.1779785 \ldots$ ) | M1 | 3.3 |  | SC Geo(0.2): $0.8{ }^{6} \mathrm{M} 1 \mathrm{~A} 0$ |
|  |  | OR: | Or: 0.177978 or 0.177979 or better seen, or $1-$ $[\mathrm{P}(1)+\ldots+\mathrm{P}(6)]$ with evidence, e.g. formula | M1 |  | Allow $\pm 1$ term |  |
|  |  |  | Expected frequency $=$ probability $\times 100=17.798$ | A1 <br> [2] | 2.1 | 17.798 correctly obtained, with sufficient evidence, www | $100-\Sigma$ (other frequencies): SC B1 |
|  | (c) |  | $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : data consistent with (geometric) distribution $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ : not consistent $\begin{aligned} & \Sigma X^{2}=9.005 \\ & 9.005<11.07(v=5) \end{aligned}$ <br> Do not reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$. <br> Insufficient evidence that a geometric distribution is not a good fit. | B1 <br> B1 <br> B1 <br> M1ft <br> A1ft <br> [5] | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ \\ \hline 2.2 \mathrm{~b} \end{gathered}$ | Both, allow equivalents, but not "evidence that ...". 9.005 or 9.01 Compare their $\Sigma X^{2}$ with 11.07 Correct first conclusion, ft on their 9.005 or on 12.59 , needs like-with-like Contextualised, not too definite (needs double negative) Don't penalise "Geo(0.25)" | E.g. $\mathrm{H}_{0}: X \sim \operatorname{Geo}(p)$ <br> Allow Geo(0.25) <br> Allow from comparison with 12.59 but nothing else <br> Allow addition slip in $\Sigma X^{2}$ SC Geo(0.2): can get full marks if given data used, $\Sigma X^{2}=4.54$ used gets B1B1B0M1A1 |
|  |  | Exx | $\alpha:$ Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$. Data is consistent with geometric:  <br> $\beta:$ Insufficient evidence to reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$. Data is consistent with geometric: M1A1 (BOD)  |  |  |  |  |


| Question |  |  | Answer | Marks |  | Guidance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | (a) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \int_{1}^{\infty} k x^{-n} \mathrm{~d} x=\left[\frac{k}{(1-n) x^{n-1}}\right]_{1}^{\infty} \\ & =\frac{k}{n-1}=1 \text { so } k=n-1 \end{aligned}$ | M1 <br> B1 <br> A1 [3] | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 1.1 \\ & 1.1 \end{aligned}$ | Integral attempted, correct limits Correct indefinite integral <br> Correctly obtain $k=n-1$, www | Don't need full details of $\lim (a \rightarrow \infty)$ |
|  | (b) | (i) | $\begin{aligned} & \int 3 x^{-4} \mathrm{~d} x=-\frac{1}{x^{3}}+c \\ & x=1, \mathrm{~F}(x)=0 \text { so } c=1 . \text { Hence } 1-x^{-3} . \\ & \mathrm{F}(x)= \begin{cases}0 & x<1, \\ 1-\frac{1}{x^{3}} & x \geq 1\end{cases} \end{aligned}$ | M1 <br> A1 <br> B1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 1.1 \\ & 1.1 \end{aligned}$ | ```Needs \(+c\) or definite integral between 1 and \(x\), oe Fully correct active part of CDF "0 for \(x<1 "\) stated and no wrong ranges (doesn't need M1 or A1) Allow \(\leq\) for <, and/or > for \(\geq\)``` | Wrong $k$ : can get M1A0B1 <br> Ignore ranges here <br> Or "0 otherwise" if " $x \geq 1$ " stated in active part |
|  |  | (ii) | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathrm{P}[(X>7) \cap(X>5)]}{\mathrm{P}(X>5)}=\frac{\mathrm{P}(X>7)}{\mathrm{P}(X>5)} \\ & =\frac{1-\mathrm{F}(7)}{1-\mathrm{F}(5)} \\ & =\frac{125}{343} \text { or } 0.364(431 \ldots) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { M1* } \\ & \text { A1 } \\ & \text { *depM1 } \\ & \text { A1ft } \\ & \quad[4] \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.1 \mathrm{a} \\ 3.1 \mathrm{a} \\ 3.3 \\ \\ 1.1 \end{gathered}$ | Use conditional probability method $\mathrm{P}[(X>7) \cap(X>5)]=\mathrm{P}(X>7)$ <br> Convert probabilities into $\mathrm{F}(X)$, not $\text { using } \mathrm{P}(X>7) \times \mathrm{P}(X>5)$ <br> Any exact fraction or awrt 0.364 , ft on $1-a / x^{3}, a \neq 0,1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{[1-\mathrm{F}(7)][1-\mathrm{F}(5)]}{1-\mathrm{F}(5)}: \\ & \text { M1A0M0A0 } \\ & \text { Allow from } \mathrm{F}(x)=1-a / x^{3}, \\ & \text { otherwise www } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | (c) |  | $\mathrm{E}\left(X^{2}\right)=\int_{1}^{\infty} k x^{2-n} \mathrm{~d} x=\left[\frac{k x^{3-n}}{(3-n)}\right]_{1}^{\infty}(n \neq 3)$ <br> If $n=3, \mathrm{E}\left(X^{2}\right)=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}[2 \ln (x)]$, not defined <br> Infinite integral does not converge if $3-n \geq 0$ <br> If $n \geq 4$ then $\mathrm{E}(X)=\left[\frac{k x^{2-n}}{(2-n)}\right]_{1}^{\infty}$ converges <br> Therefore $\operatorname{Var}(X)$ is not defined if and only if $n=2$ or 3 . | M1* <br> B1 *depM1 | 2.1 <br> 1.1 $2.2 \mathrm{a}$ | Correct limits needed somewhere Correct indefinite integral or $\frac{n-1}{n-3}$ <br> No marks just for this unless last 3 marks all zero, then if this (or for $n=2$ ) is shown, award SC B1 <br> Make deduction based on convergence, ft | SC: $\mathrm{E}\left(X^{2}\right)=\frac{n-1}{n-3}$, M1B1 $\mathrm{E}(X)=\frac{n-1}{n-2} \Rightarrow n \neq 2 \text { or } 3:$ <br> (not valid, must consider $\ln$ if $n=2$ or 3 ): B0 <br> No limits used: M0B1M0B0 |
|  |  |  |  | B1 | 2.3 | Consider convergence of $\mathrm{E}(X)$ | SC: $\operatorname{Var}(X)<0$ when $n<3$ : M1B1M1 (B0) A0 |
|  |  |  |  | A1 [5] | 2.2a | Shown not defined for $n=2$ or 3 and only for those | But no need to state "if and only if" |
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